

**1. Review of hearing stats- screen share- Note is on line now.**

ACADEMIC JUDICIARY REPORT (FALL 2022- Fall 2023)

Note on process:

We continue with VIRTUAL hearings

Notes on the numbers: including CEAS (merged 2020)

1.

2.

	<b>FALL 2022</b>	<b>SPRING 2023</b>	<b>FALL 2023</b>
REPORTED ACCUSATIO NS	277	128	3 (ongo ing)
APPEALING	53	33	
NOT APPEALING	224	95	
FOUND RESPONSIBL E	44	24	
FOUND NOT RESPONSIBL E	9	9	

Note that these statistic were not posted prior to 2019. The incentive for being more transparent was because of a confluence of events, including COVID, which caused a spike in cases; a new, inexperienced associate provost, who overturned an unprecedented number of cases as the result of perceived legal pressures due to a spike of cases in which students hired lawyers. As a consequence there was an unprecedented number of lawyer-associated cases whose appeals were granted, an uproar amongst faculty and hearing members etc. who felt slighted  
The good news is that the integrity office now has a streamlined system of data collection that allows statistics to be posted on the web page , which bolsters transparency

There is not much to report as there are not enough years before covid to analyze trend. ALSO, MANY PARAMETERS (plagiarism detection software improvement/ online courses and testing/covid effects/AI has arrived)

2. **Policy updates**

**a. NO ATTORNEYS** In accordance with other SUNY academic integrity policy, we have stipulated no attorneys may attend hearing as witnesses. UPDATED ONLINE

“A student accused of violating academic integrity will be given an opportunity to address the hearing board. The student may bring an advisor or witness. In no such case shall the advisor be an attorney. An advisor may not speak on behalf of a student nor do they have privilege of the floor. The Academic Judiciary Office must be notified of the intention to bring advisors or witnesses no later than two working days prior to the hearing.

**b. Multiple levels of student appeals-** Consider changing? One issue that is different @ SBU vs other SUNY is level at which students can appeal post hearing. We have “1st appeal to Associate Provost, but if denied, a 2nd appeal to Vice Provost Vice Provost ?????

a. **Put in writing Q course policy course should align with I in terms of time frame (students must complete Q course with in 1 year) .**

b. **We discussed means by which new faculty could be better informed about AJ procedures and policies. A suggestion were that AJC member would meet with dept for informational session**

3. **Current review of AJ processes at a campus wide level-** Status unknown as I have not been privy to any discussion Web pages need updating- Can admin provide help in keeping up?- **FOR INSTANCE...detecting plagiarism/ Brightspace links??**

4. **AI /academic integrity issues- none to report-** Wanda and I participated in zoom workshop where different things were discussed. Not much to report except that detection doesnt work and new ways of assessment must be implemented to level the playing field